Analysis of forestry work accidents in five Australian forest companies for the period of 2004 to 2014

Dr Mohammad R. Ghaffariyan

VUSC

usc.edu.au | Rise, and shine.

USC, QUEENSLAND, AUSTRALIA CRICOS PROVIDER NUMBER: 01595D

Background



- More than 75000 people working in forest/timber sector.
- Current research focus on trees/machines/products.
- Little known about workers/operators health and wellbeing.







- Objectives: Analyse the frequency, type and root causes of work accidents.
- Questionnaire sent to five industry partners of AFORA. The period from 2004 to 2014.
- Root causes: Personal errors (lack of PPE, poor position/technique,...), Environment and System.
- Body parts: Upper body (including hands/fingers), Lower body and head/neck.
- Injury types:

Skin damages (cut, abrasion, scratch, rash, burnt and laceration) Contusion (bruise/struck, fracture, dislocation, struck and broken bone) Muscular damage (strain, sprain and soft tissue)

Others (object in eye, bitten by insect/snake, blood nose, infection and dehydration).

Results





• 14.4 accidents per million m³ of wood



Distribution





Harvesting operations



- Severity rate: 2.85 accidents/ million m³ of harvested wood.
- Lower than 6.03-12.00 accidents/ million m³ for harvesting in Austria!



Silviculture

MUSC

- 18% of total accidents.
- Only 3% of total accidents in Sweden.



Forest firefighting





Outcomes

- Need to improve incidents reporting system (near miss, lost time, evaluate recovery cost...).
- A short industry bulletin and a journal paper. Improve safety/health.
 Potential saving on medical costs (\$0.5 million per year).
- Personal error is main root cause ... improve work safety training.
- Upper body (back/shoulders) is most injured part with muscular and skin damages... provide more ergonomic training and use safety cloths/equipment.

AFORA



Forestry work accident rates: a case study for 2004 to 2014

Mohammad Reza Ghaffariyan, AFORA, University of the Sunshine Coast

Introduction

Research projects carried out by CRC for foresity and AFOAB have investigated economic and environmental impacts of forest operations. These projects have mostly helped the industry improve machine productivity, reduce costs of harvesting operations, reduce the potential environmental impacts and improve yield and stand productivity. However, there is little knowledge available regarding Australian forestry works takely and accident rates. Machine operators and forestry works are a vital part of the forestry sector, and their health and well-being can greatly impact on their work vanily and efficiency. To increase our knowledge on forest workers' safety, this project alimed to analyse the frequency, tope and root causes of work accidents which occurred within different forestry activities of the industry partners of APORA over the proid form 2000 to 2014.

Research method

Five industry partners of APORA (27% of total) participated in this project. A questionnaire was designed and distributed to the partners to collect the safety incident reports from 2004 to 2014 (this period was selected to match most of the provided information of each partner). The information was classified and put in a Excel-based data base including, date of acident, time of acident, type of forestry activity, operation, havereting system, havereting machine/forestry tool, age of worker, root cause, category of acident, type of injury, injured parts, side of body, type of first aid provided, number of days of work, cost pairs for medical insurance/treatments and employment type. Root causes were classified into personal errors (fatigue, lack of personal protective equipment (PPE), operator error, poor body position, poorly applied technique and poor judgment), environment (such as poorly maintained equipment and excessive healt) and system (such as lack of afset) runing, preexisting injuries). The body parts were classified into the upper body (Including handyflingers), the lower body and head/neck. hujny types were classified as shind manages. (Including cu, Anrasion, scratch, rash and laceration), contusion (bruise/struck, fracture, dislocation, struck and broken bone), muscular damage (strain, prani and soft tissue), and others (object in eye, bitten by insect/snake, biod nose, intertion and dehytartion).

Results

The total number of work accidents was 470 for 11 years (a rate of 43 accidents per year). Considering the estimated yearly production rates of the industry partners that participated in this project, the accident rate was about 14.40 accidents/million cubic meters of harvested wood. Frequency and percentage of the work accidents for each forestry activity are presented in Table 1.

The majority of accidents occurred in operations (37%) and forest management (30.2%). Operations included harvesting, transport and roading. Forest management included activities such as silviculture, planting, nursery, planning, assessment, estabilishment, and fertilisation. Based on the results 8.1% of the accidents occurred during firefliphting. Figure 1 presents the distribution of accidents for different

> JOURNAL OF FOREST SCIENCE, 62, 2016 (12): 545-552 doi: 10.17221/80/2016/JP5

Analysis of forestry work accidents in five Australian forest companies for the period 2004 to 2014

M.R. GHAFFARIYAN

Forest Industries Research Centre, University of the Sunshine Coast, Maroochydore DC, Australia

ABSTRACT: There is little knowledge senabled regarding Antarialan ferentry work safety and accident rates. Multiles optication and between specific and the strength of the strengt sector and the triading and well-being can approxy impact an their work spatiality and efficiency. To increase our knowledge of furent worker's safety fulls protect simult to any specific and the strength of the strength or the strength of the streng

Keywords: operations, safety; accident rate; root cause; injury; protective equipment

Research projects carried out by Cooperative Research Centre for Forestry and Kanzhan Torinsweigiated Coomonic and environmental impacts and the GAS accidents (24% of March March March March March March March Bayed Mei nikastry improve matchine productive ty, reduce costs of harvessing operations. These projects have most accident (24% of March March

showing for the period 1990 to 2005 and separated that 665 accded accentral in Ediling operations while shading operations had the lowest batter of accidents (25% of the accdents). Other researchers also indicated that tree felling and wood extraction cause a larger number of accidents. Other researchers 2002: Niscowa et al. 2012; Periors et al. 2002; Niscowa et al. 2012; Periors (1991) meations of the second et al. 2012; Periors (1991) meamore inpairs: than any other forestry tox/equipment. However, there is little investiga available regarding Asstralian forestry work safety and accident rates. To increase our knowledge on infersition and root causes of work accident worker' aftery this project anned to analyse the frequency, type and root causes of work accident of five industry partners of AFORA over the period from 2004 to 2014.

Potential future researches

VUSC



Forest workers health/ergonomics/nutrition.



Reduce fatigue level for truck and machine drivers.



Mental stress and physical strain for harvester operators.

Fatigue Reduction Technologies for a Safer Australian Transport Sector







Dr Mohammad R. Ghaffariyan Email: mghaffar@usc.edu.au Tel: 07-5456 5447 Web: www.usc.edu.au



Forest Industries Research Centre

